



Q#2 reacting to public figure viewpoints

AI and Reacting to Public Figure Viewpoints

In today's world, it's become increasingly difficult for individuals to make informed decisions due to the constant influx of news and opinions from various media outlets and social media platforms. AI augmentation of digital consensus tools, such as [Loomio.org](https://loomio.org), offers a promising solution to this problem.

However, as we integrate AI assistants into our decision-making processes, we need to consider how they should respond to questions concerning public figure viewpoints. Should they remain neutral, refuse to answer, or be required to provide source citations? The current situation with major media outlets labeled as either liberal or conservative, while these terms remain ill-defined, further complicates the matter. Additionally, social media platforms are primarily driven by a quest for advertising revenue, which encourages arguments designed to produce "engagement."

The challenges we face in this environment highlight the importance of offering decision-making tools that encourage group interaction and the identification of sources of information. Rather than trying to teach people what is "correct," we should train them to join a cooperative that uses AI augmentation of training practices to facilitate the identification of relevant sources of information and foster group discussions to arrive at a consensus.

In this process, AI assistants can play a significant role by providing relevant sources of information and facilitating group discussions. By serving as a tool to provide information and promote collaboration and cooperation among individuals, AI can help to identify and address potential biases in information sources and discussions.

The use of AI augmentation of digital consensus tools offers a promising solution to the problem of reacting to public figure viewpoints. By providing decision-making tools that encourage group interaction and the identification of sources of information, we can develop a more informed and cooperative society. This approach would not only ensure that people are better informed, but it would also encourage cooperation and collaboration, which are essential for a healthy democratic society.

However, as we integrate AI into our decision-making processes, it is essential to address potential biases and ensure that the AI is not promoting any particular agenda. Ultimately, the goal should be to promote informed decision-making and encourage collaboration and cooperation among individuals.

In conclusion, the use of AI assistants in the context of public figure viewpoints is a complex issue that requires careful consideration. By using AI as a tool to facilitate group discussions and identify relevant sources of information, we can create a more informed and cooperative society that is better equipped to make decisions that benefit everyone.